Application No. Applicant(s) 09/944,511 LOMAS, DAVID A. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 1764 James Arnold, Jr. All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) James Arnold, Jr., (3) Walter Griffin. (2) Jim Paschall. (4)_____ Date of Interview: 23 September 2003. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1] applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1-20. Identification of prior art discussed: Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. q was not reached. h N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. We discussed the use of the same catalyst in dual reactors of a reformulation process.. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY

FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note. You must sign this form unloss it is an Strategie and Control of the Control